# GFIT3: A full physics retrieval algorithm for remote sensing of greenhouse gases in the presence of aerosols AMTD: https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2021-84

<u>Zhao-Cheng Zeng\*</u> (UCLA/Caltech), Vijay Natraj (JPL), Feng Xu (Oklahoma U.), Sihe Chen (Caltech), Fang-Ying Gong (Caltech), Thomas J. Pongetti (JPL), Keeyoon Sung (JPL), Geoffrey Toon (JPL), Stanley P. Sander (JPL), and Yuk L. Yung (Caltech) \*zcz@gps.caltech.edu



**SVO**: Spectralon Viewing Observation **LABS**: Los Angeles Basin Surveys

To investigate the impact of aerosol scattering on remote sensing of GHGs in cities, we developed GFIT3, a full physics algorithm to retrieve GHGs  $(CO_2 \text{ and } CH_4)$  by accounting for aerosol scattering effects in polluted urban atmospheres.

CLARS–FTS is an ideal testbed for GHG remote sensing algorithms because (1) the LA basin's high GHG emissions are associated with its heavy aerosol loading; (2) the aerosol scattering angle changes from forward (~20 degrees) to backward (~140 degrees).



When aerosols are not accounted for in the retrieval, the XCO<sub>2</sub> and XCH<sub>4</sub> are overestimated. The bias can be up to about 10% for both XCO<sub>2</sub> and XCH<sub>4</sub>.

#### Observed spectra for $O_2^1\Delta$ , $CO_2$ , $CH_4$ , and $SCO_2$ bands

4880



Examples of observed high resolution (0.06 cm<sup>-1</sup>) spectra for the O<sub>2</sub>  $^{1}\Delta$  absorption band centered at 1.27µm (7885 cm<sup>-1</sup>), the weak CO<sub>2</sub> absorption band at 6220 cm<sup>-1</sup>, the CH<sub>4</sub> absorption band at 6076 cm<sup>-1</sup>, and the strong CO<sub>2</sub> absorption band at 4852 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

- A scientifically unique feature of CLARS–FTS, among instruments that measure surface reflected sunlight, is that it uses the  $O_2$   $^1\Delta$  band at 1.27 µm instead of the  $O_2$  A band at 0.76 µm that is traditionally used by spaceborne instruments to constrain surface pressure and aerosols.
- In the GFIT3 algorithm, the aerosols are primarily constrained by the O<sub>2</sub> and the SCO<sub>2</sub> bands.

## Aerosol scattering angles: diurnal change



This large range of angles, from
forward scattering (<90 degrees) to</li>
backward scattering (>90 degrees),
means that a majority of the change
in aerosol scattering comes from
angular variations.

- This also indicates that the aerosol scattering phase function is a key parameter that needs to be accurately modelled in order to obtain high fidelity RT calculations.
- GFIT3 algorithm includes coarse (including sea salt and dust) and fine (including organic carbon, black carbon, and sulfate) mode aerosols in the radiative transfer model.

Diurnal change of aerosol scattering angle for six selected surface reflection points, separated into two groups.

## Workflow



There are four major components:

- a pre-processing step to identify soundings free of clouds and heavy aerosol loading;
- (2) a forward RTM to generate synthetic spectra in order to simulate observed CLARS-FTS measurements;
- (3) an inverse model based on optimal estimation to update the surface and atmosphere states to minimize the difference between model and observation; and
- (4) a post-processing screening step to filter out bad retrievals.

**GFIT3** is an extension of the widely used GFIT model, to retrieve GHGs in polluted urban atmospheres from spectra of reflected solar radiation. GFIT is a state-of-the-art profile scaling algorithm to retrieve gas concentrations and related atmospheric and instrumental parameters from absorption spectra.

#### Retrieval results

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

In general, when aerosols are not accounted for in the retrieval, as in CLARS-GFIT,  $XCO_2$  and  $XCH_4$  are overestimated. The bias can be up to about 10% for both  $XCO_2$  and  $XCH_4$ . The scatter plots indicate that the differences in  $XCO_2$  and  $XCH_4$  are significantly correlated with AOD.

The retrieved AOD is in good agreement with AERONET-Caltech AOD

## Future work

Future research will focus on developing a "divide and conquer" algorithm for retrieving aerosol properties and GHGs in order to further improve the accuracy of GHG retrievals. The basic idea is to use a two-step procedure. First, O<sub>2</sub> absorption lines will be used to constrain the AOD and ALH based on a spectral sorting technique (Zeng et al., 2018). These values will then provide constraints for AOD and ALH (with uncertainty estimates) for the retrieval of GHGs.

#### **References:**

Zeng, Z.-C., V. Natraj, F. Xu, S.Chen, F.-Y. Gong, T. Pongetti, K. Sung, G. Toon, S. P. Sander, and Y. L. Yung, (2021). <u>GFIT3: A full</u> <u>physics retrieval algorithm for remote sensing of greenhouse gases in the presence of aerosols</u>. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques Discussions. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2021-84.

Zeng, Z.-C., Xu, F., Natraj, V., Pongetti, T.J., Shia, R.L., Zhang, Q., Sander, S.P. and Yung, Y.L., (2020). <u>Remote sensing of angular</u> <u>scattering effect of aerosols in a North American megacity</u>. Remote Sensing of Environment, 242, 111760. DOI:10.1016/j.rse.2020.111760.

Zeng, Z.-C., Natraj, V., Xu, F., Pongetti, T.J., Shia, R.L., Kort, E.A., Toon, G.C., Sander, S.P. and Yung, Y.L., (2018). <u>Constraining</u> <u>aerosol vertical profile in the boundary layer using hyperspectral measurements of oxygen absorption</u>. Geophysical Research Letters, 45(19), 10-772. DOI:10.1029/2018GL079286.