



A deep learning-based approach for mapping tall shrubs in Arctic tundra Darko Radakovic¹, Mark Chopping¹, Rocio Duchesne², Angela Erb³, Zhuosen Wang⁴, Crystal Schaaf³, and Ken Tape⁶

Objectives We aim to improve the mapping and quantify tall shrubs in the Arctic, using machine learning (ML) techniques over a period of A ~10-16 years to assess changes in (tall) shrub succession in northern Alaska. The objective of this study is to employ semi-automated techniques to analyze high resolution (<1 m) images taken by satellites in orbit to evaluate variations in the growth of shrubs in numerous locations across the Arctic tundra regions of Alaska and Canada, spanning a decade to a decade and a half. The data produced were intended to be accessible to ABoVE researchers for evaluating the effects on summer terrestrial albedo, comparing changes in shrub abundance in Arctic tundra from the satellite high resolution record and albedo, verifying lower spatial resolution ABoVE remote sensing data products, and initiating, driving, calibrating and validating ecological models.

Imagery The project utilized the availability of commercial high spatial resolution satellite imagery, including QuickBird (~ 0.6 m) from around 2005 serving as "early period" pair and WorldView-2 (~0.4 m) and WorldView-3 (~0.3 m) from around 2015 to 2021, serving as "late period" image pair for diverse cloud-free, summer tundra landscapes. The Maxar Technologies (then DigitalGlobe) catalog is available to NASA Earth Science investigators, at the NASA Center for Climate Simulation (NCCS).

Models Yolov5 / Yolov8 (released Jan 2023) model (You only Look Once) for object detection of individual shrubs; and Detectron2 model for segmentation to identify diverse tundra landscape patches, such as dense tall shrub areas and polygonal ground in the satellite images (figure 1). The models are open sourced and accessible with Python.

Training A subset of 2 by 2 km areas were chosen for the study, with in total of 252 1 km sites, of which 242 were eventually considered suitable for use. Smaller subsets of 50- and 100 m from Pansharpened QuickBird and WorldView were converted to JPEG and PNG formats. Two different techniques were used for annotating shrubs: 1) Object detection with bounding boxes and 2) Segmentation with polygons. Next, the dataset is divided in train (70%), validation (20%) and test (10%) sets. Based on the type of annotation, the ML-model is chosen. A relatively small training and

validation sets were used for various experiments aiming to improve the Average mean Precision (mAP), e.g., comparing subset area size, object detection vs segmentation and image quality



Figure 1. Example of Segmentation and Object detection annotations for a sample site in Alaska Quickbird (QB) Aug 4, 2004 imagery near the Colville River, North Slope, Alaska

(QB02_20040804220230_1010010003255300_04AU G04220230-P1BS-500537153040_01_P001)

Our experimental models showed that the mAP was larger for pansharpened imagery compared to panchromatic imagery. Furthermore, augmentation (tilting, cropping and rotation of the input images) and resizing to 640x640 pixels improved the accuracy of the model. Segmentation was most accurate with NASA's Goddard's Lidar, Hyperspectral and Thermal (G-LiHT) data (figure 3).



ABoVE 9th SCIENCE TEAM MEETING WYNDHAM SAN DIEGO BAYSIDE 23-26 JANUARY 2023

¹ Montclair State University ²University of Wisconsin Whitewater ³University of Massachusetts, Boston ⁴University of Massachusetts, Boston ⁴University, Boston ⁴Univer



Calibration All imagery was orthorectified to the ABoVE Albers Conic Equal Area (Canada) grid (0.5 m) and converted to calibrated spectral radiances using the Polar Geospatial Center's pgc_ortho.py code.

Validation The accuracy of ML-generated maps was checked using the fine resolution $(\pm 0.02m)$ Vegetation Community Map, Toolik Lake Area, Alaska, 2013-2015," which were created using high resolution UAS imagery and lidar data (Greaves et al. 2018).



images was compared to the Vegetation Community Map, Toolik Lake Area seen in Table 1 and figure 2. Shrub patches with Segmentation in Quickbird and Worldview images produces lower accuracies compared to object detection. Object detection on the other hand has a low recall, due to the few detections when compared with the Vegetation community map. However, most of the predicted labels are correct when compared to the training labels. F1-scores are low for all detections which could indicate that the detected classes are imbalanced.



Figure 2. Toolik lake site in Alaska (a) Vegetation Community Map, Toolik Lake Area, Alaska, 2013-2015 (Greaves et al. 2018) (b) Segmentation detections shrub patches pan-sharpened Quickbird (QB), Aug 4, 2004 (QB02_20040804220250_1010010003255300_04AUG04220250-P1BS-500537153040_01_P007) (c) Segmentation detections shrub patches pan-sharpened WorldView-2 (WV02), June 16, 2012 (WV02_20160612215015_1030010057A6E600_16JUN12215015-P1BS-501511474060_01_P012) (d) Close up of pansharpened Quickbird at the Toolik site (e) Close up of Vegetation Community Map at the Toolik site (f) Close up of object detections of (tall) shrubs (in blue) on the pansharpened Quickbird at the Toolik site without a Convolution Neural Network Filter applied.

Object Results detection shrubs individual and segmentation of shrub patches for both QuickBird and WorldView



Figure 3. MASK-RCNN Segmentation detections on shrubs (a,b) and trees (c,d) on fine-scale (~0.02m) G-LiHT Orthomosaic RGB data in Alaska, (below North Slope)



TABLE 1. TALL SHRUB MEASUREMENT RESULTS, BEST RUNS

Findings and Future Work Assessing changes in shrub cover and biomass using high resolution imagery, relies not only on precise detections but also on the numbers (recall) that can be affected training data. Imprecision can be reduced by utilizing Convolution Neural Network (CNN) filters to reduce false positives, however, further testing with imagery from other regions is necessary to determine the ML strategy to use before proceeding to the production phase of mapping a large number of sites in the ABoVE domain, as there are over 252 sites with intersecting early/late image pairs.

NASA Award NNX15AU08A

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the NASA, GSFC NCCS User Services Group (support@nccs.nasa.gov); Liz Hoy (ABoVE Science Cloud Lead, NASA, GSFC); Mark Carroll (NASA, GSFC); Clare Porter (Polar Geospatial Center); Wayne Rasband (National Institutes of Health; ImageJ author); Jim Shute (NASA Center for Climate Simulation (NCCS)); Bruce Cook (NASA Goddard Space Flight Center); Christopher Chopping (for CANAPI method subjectivity testing); and Xiaohong Chopping References

Cook, B. D., L. W. Corp, R. F. Nelson, E. M. Middleton, D. C. Morton, J. T. McCorkel, J. G. Masek, K. J. Ranson, V. Ly, and P. M. Montesano. (2013). NASA Goddard's Lidar, Hyperspectral and Thermal (G-LiHT) airborne imager. Remote Sensing 5:4045-4066, doi:10.3390/rs508404

Greaves, H.E., L. Vierling, J. Eitel, N. Boelman, T. Magney, C. Prager, and K. Griffin. 2018. High-

Resolution Shrub Biomass and Uncertainty Maps, Toolik Lake Area, Alaska, 2013. ORNL DAAC, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA. https://doi.org/10.3334/ORNLDAAC/1573 NASA Center for Climate Simulation (NCCS)