

# Time Series Analysis of Coral Health Indices in the Florida Reef Tract

Cara Estes<sup>a</sup> , Lauren Toth<sup>b</sup>, Pamela Hallock-Muller<sup>a</sup>, and Frank Muller-Karger<sup>a</sup> University of South Florida College of Marine Science<sup>a</sup> and United States Geological Survey<sup>b</sup>





### **Introduction:**

Florida coral reefs have been declining over the last 30 years and action needs to be taken to save these vital ecosystems. We attempt to understand how biodiversity is changing by combining two long standing time-series databases along the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary (FKNMS) into three coral health indices. The goals of this research is to better understand their applicability and ability to evaluate changes among the Florida coral reefs. Additionally, we looked at "healthier" reefs to try and identify which of the input values explained more variation within the health indices.

## **Study area:**



#### **Data & Methods:**

- FKNMS Coral Reef Evaluation and Monitoring Program (CREMP<sup>1</sup>) was evaluated over a twenty-year (1999-2018) time period to monitor changes to coral and fish biodiversity.
- Reef Visual Census Data<sup>2</sup> and National Marine Fisheries Service<sup>3</sup> are the source of fisheries data.
- Each Coral Reef (~30) was assessed separately and later compared to other reefs based on habitat, subregion, and MPA type.
- Habitat was found be to be the most significant environmental parameter to the input data (PERMANOVA analysis) and is furthered analyzed with the "healthiest" reefs.
- "Healthy" reefs were defined as scores one standard error higher than the mean of all the sites for each index, and a Canonical Analysis of Principal coordinates (CAP) was performed on the raw input variables of these reefs to see which of the variables explains the most variation.







#### Coral Health Index (CHI)<sup>4</sup>

• % Stony Coral Cover, % Macroalgae Cover, Fish Biomass (g/m<sup>2</sup>)

•  $\left[\frac{\left(\frac{xFishBiomassValue}{xFishBiomassMax} + \left(\frac{yMacroalgaeValue}{yMacroalgaeMax} - 1\right) + \frac{zStonyCoralValue}{zStonyCoralMax}\right)}{3}\right] * 100 = CHI Value per Year$ 

• These values were normalized to the maximum values of each parameter within each reef





#### Reef Health Index (RHI)<sup>5</sup>

• Values 1–5 were given to each coral reef per indicator per year based on these intervals and							
RHI Indicators	Very Good (5)	Good (4)	Fair (3)	1 Poor (2)	Critical (1)		
Coral Cover %	≥40	20-39.9	10-19.9	5-9.9	<5		
Fleshy algae cover %	0-0.9	1-5	5.1–12	12.1-25	>25		
Herbivorous Fish biomass (g/m²)	≥17	16–8	7.9-4	3.9–1.9	<1.8		
Commercial Fish biomass (g/m²)	≥48	47.9–23.5	23.4–13.2	13.1–6.2	<6.1		

- Coral Reef Resilience Indicator (CRRI)<sup>6</sup>
- Stony coral cover %, Fleshy algae cover %, coral diversity (Simpson diversity), coral richness, bleaching resistant corals<sup>6</sup>, herbivore biomass, herbivore diversity (Simpson diversity), herbivore richness
- These parameters were normalized to the maximum value of each parameters of all the reefs within the FKNMS for every year of data to give final resilience scores

0-20 (very degraded), 21-40 (degraded), 41-60(Fair), 61-80(healthy),81-100 (very health	ıy)	
---	-----	--

• 0-0.25 (Low), 0.251-0.50(Low-Med), 0.51-0.75(Med-High), 0.751-1.00 (High)

